6 2019

File Name

NADDI2019Evaluation.sav

Case Quantity

24

% content

Label

Conference content?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		1	4.2%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Satisfied	10	41.7%
5	Very Satisfied	10	41.7%

	I really	1	
	appreciated		
	Doug Manuel's		
	presentation		
	that was clear		
	about		
	shortcomings		
	in DDI from a		
	researcher's		
	perspective. I		
	think this kind		
	of constructive		
	criticism is very		
	useful for		
	community		
development	""". """". "'''''''''''''''''''''''''''		
	get that		
	Colectica is		
	very active		
	with DDI but		
	l'm		
	uncomfortable		
	with the idea		
	of Colectica		
	effectively		
	having the		
	monopoly on		
	DDI generation		
	and that		
	NADDI is a		
	Colectica sales		
pitch.,,	",",",",",",",019-		
. /	04-		
26T13:5	56:38.549Z,019-		
	04-		
26T15:2	27:41.383Z,019-		
	04-		
25T11	1:09:49.449228-		
	04:00,eee41a1-		
	0a7b-4408-		
	a90d-		
ozewski@laurenti			
	288e-49ca-		
	8079-		
dbd5e0d8a0,pm8			
,	04-25		
	15:09:49,019-		
	04-26		
1	L5:27:43,",",alse		
-			

	User	1	
	experiences	-	
	/perspectives		
	(as well as		
	those doing		
	the		
	mplete,",019-		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-019- 04-		
26716.02			
20110.02	:07.593Z,019- 04-		
26T16-00			
20110:09	:22.389Z,019-		
25744.4	04-		
	09:49.449228-		
04	4:00,5020423-		
	c630-4bb1-		
	a062-		
se,alse,",",kelly@cil			
	408c-4c79-		
	82f4-		
0852a3,xb63rn3,ON			
	04-25		
	15:09:49,019-		
	04-26		
	16:09:22,019-		
	04-26		
1	6:09:22,",alse		

ValidInvalid240

\delta demo_attended

Label

Have you attended a NADDI conference previously?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		3	12.5%
1	Yes	9	37.5%
2	No	12	50.0%

Valid	Invalid
24	0

🌯 demo_learned_naddi

Label

Where did you first hear about NADDI?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				3	12.5%
1	Word of mouth			10	41.7%
2	Website (i.e., NA	DDI, DDI Alliance,	IASSIST, etc)	4	16.7%
3	List serv (i.e., NA	DDI, DDI Alliance,	IASSIST, etc)	5	20.8%
4	Other			2	8.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
21	3	1	4	1.95	1.07

% demo_learned_naddi_text

Label

Where did you first hear about NADDI? - other, please specify

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

demo_reason_interested

Label

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you.

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		3	12.5%
1	Research use	8	33.3%
2	Tool development/ programming	6	25.0%
3	Libraries/ archiving	5	20.8%
4	Other	2	8.3%

21	3	1	4	2 05	1 02
	9	-	•	2.05	1.02

% demo_reason_interested_text

Label

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you - other, please specify

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

\delta demo_role

Label

Please indicate your primary designation/role:

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				4	16.7%
1	Faculty/ academ	ic		11	45.8%
3	Research staff/ s	upport		5	20.8%
4	Private sector			1	4.2%
5	Other			3	12.5%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
20	4	1	5	2.25	1.55

\delta demo_role_text

Label

Please indicate your primary designation/role - other, please specify

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

2019

Label

Conference facilities?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				4	16.7%
2				3	12.5%
3				1	4.2%
4				10	41.7%
5				6	25.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
20	4	2	5	3.95	1

🌯 food

Label

Food options?

Source Questions

food

Food options?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				4	16.7%
2				2	8.3%
3				2	8.3%
4				11	45.8%
5				5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev





Label

Poster session

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				18	75.0%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied			1	4.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
6	18	0	5	2.17	2.4

🎋 fri_2

Label

Implementing DDI 3.3 support in Colectica version 6

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend			2	8.3%
3	Neutral			1	4.2%
5	Very Satisfied			2	8.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	2.6	2.51

🌯 fri_3

Label

DDI 4 in R: New possibilities

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
3	Neutral			1	4.2%
5	Very Satisfied			1	4.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	1.6	2.3

🎋 fri_4

Label

The Picasso Project

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend			2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied			3	12.5%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	3	2.74

^s fri_5

Label

Documenting variable provenance with DDI and Colectica

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied			2	8.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	2	2.74

\delta fri_6

Label

DDI compliance benefit for a Generic Statistical Business Process Model

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied			1	4.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	1.25	2.5

\delta fri_7

Label

Labour Force Survey: Improved access for researchers

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied			1	4.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	1.25	2.5

\delta fri_8

Label

Closing plenary?Introduction: James Doiron, University of Alberta Libraries

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend			2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied			2	8.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDay
Valid	invalio	winimum	waximum	Iviean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	2.5	2.89



Label

Wrap-up and evaluation

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend			2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied			2	8.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	2.5	2.89

% future_topics

Label

What topics would you like to see addressed in future NADDI conferences?

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

🎋 futuresuggestions

Label

Do you have any other suggestions for the future of this conference?

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

% good_value

Label

Do you feel that the NADDI conference was a good value?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
1		5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	1	1	1	0

\delta location

Label

Location of the conference?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		6	25.0%

3				2	8.3%
4				8	33.3%
5				8	33.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
18	6	3	5	4.33	0.69

% nps

Label

How likely is it that you would recommend the NADDI conference to a colleague?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
7	7	1	4.2%
8	8	1	4.2%
10	10	3	12.5%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	7	10	9	1.41

% registration

Label

Registration and check-in?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				6	25.0%
4				5	20.8%
5				13	54.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
18	6	4	5	4.72	0.46



Label

Keynote: Enabling the modernization of official statistics with metadata standards

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend		1	4.2%	
3	Neutral			3	12.5%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied			5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.87	1.3

🌯 thu_10

Label

Using DDI and metadata in research analyses, reporting and dissemination: Use case for the development of risk prediction algorithms in health

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied	7	29.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.93	1.67

\delta thu_11

Label

Development of a workflow for capturing, enhancing and disseminating longitudinal study metadata

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
3	Neutral			1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied			6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied			5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev

15	9	0	5	3.47	1.88

⁴ thu_12

Label

Using DDI to document a complex longitudinal study

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend			3	12.5%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		5	20.8%
5	Very Satisfied			7	29.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.67	1.95

🌯 thu_13

Label

DDI community engagement: Discussion and feedback

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				10	41.7%
0	Did Not Attend			4	16.7%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		4	16.7%
5	Very Satisfied			6	25.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
valiu	invaliu	Willington	IVIAXIIIIUIII	Iviean	Studev
14	10	0	5	3.29	2.2

% thu_2

Label

Keynote: Data Analytics as a Service

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%

2	Somewhat Dissa	tisfied	1	4.2%	
3	Neutral		1	4.2%	
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied	7	29.2%	
5	Very Satisfied			5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.87	1.36

% thu_3

Label

DDI conference evaluation demo

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				10	41.7%
0	Did Not Attend			1	4.2%
3	Neutral	Neutral			16.7%
4	Somewhat Satisf	Somewhat Satisfied			16.7%
5	Very Satisfied			5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
14	10	0	5	3.79	1.37

\delta thu_4

Label

Use of DDI to document annual public-use microdata (PUMD) for Consumer Expenditure Surveys

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend			2	8.3%
3	Neutral			2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfie	ed		6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied			5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.67	1.63

% thu_5

Label

Use of DDI to support data curation in Dataverse

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend			2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied			10	41.7%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	4.13	1.73

% thu_6

Label

Creating DDI Lifecycle documentation for longitudinal data at ICPSR

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	Did Not Attend			4.2%
3	Neutral	Neutral			8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	I		2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	Very Satisfied			41.7%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	4.27	1.39

% thu_7

Label

Solve for X(ML): Transforming metadata to transform data access

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	29.2%

5	Very Satisfied			6	25.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.87	1.64

% thu_8

Label

metajelo + DDI: Deriving a metadata package for journals to support externally linked objects from DDI

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend			1	4.2%
3	Neutral			1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied			7	29.2%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	4.13	1.3

% thu_9

Label

Complex sampling design settings: Bridging technical documentation and applied researcher software use

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				10	41.7%
0	Did Not Attend			1	4.2%
3	Neutral			2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		5	20.8%
5	Very Satisfied			6	25.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
14	10	0	5	4	1.36

😵 wed_colectica

Label

Document questionnaires and datasets with DDI

Value	Label			Frequency	%
				8	33.3%
0	Did Not Attend			6	25.0%
2	Somewhat Dissa	tisfied		1	4.2%
3	Neutral			2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied		2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied			5	20.8%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
16	8	0	5	2.56	2.22

wed_colectica_comments

Label

Comments on Document questionnaires and datasets with DDI

Туре

Text

Val	id	Invalid
	24	0

\delta wed_intro_ddi

Label

Introduction to DDI

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		8	33.3%
0	Did Not Attend	5	20.8%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	8	33.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
16	8	0	5	3.19	2.29

🌯 wed_intro_ddi_comment

Label

Comments on Introduction to DDI

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

properties.location

Invalid	Valid
24	0

🎋 properties.context

Invalid	Valid
24	0

🎋 properties.interviewer

id	Invalio	Valid
24	24	0

sproperties.position

Valid	Invalid
0	24

🍕 properties.disposition

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

Properties.complete_page

ValidInvalid024

🎋 properties.started

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

🌯 properties.modified

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

🎋 properties.created

Туре

Valid	Invalid
24	0

% contact.uuid

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

\delta contact.opted_in

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

% contact.opted_out

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

🌯 contact.date_opted_in

Invalid	Valid
24	0

scontact.date_opted_out

Invalid	Valid
24	0

\delta contact.address

Туре

Valid	Invalid
24	0

% response.uuid

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

?₃	response.	response	kev

Туре

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

🌯 response.state

Туре

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

response.created

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

ช response.modified

Туре

lid	Invalio	Valid
0	(24

response.completed

Туре

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

⁴ s respon	se.cancel
Valid	Invalid
0	24

🎕 response.test

Туре

Valid	Invalid
24	0