NADDI 2019

Spatial Coverage

Ottawa, Canada

Data Collection

NADDI 2019

NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation

Demographics

Label Demographics	NADDI 2019 Post Conference
Туре	Evaluation Order
Sequence	InOrderOfAppearance

demo_reason_interested

Name demo_reason_interested	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Demographics
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you.

Choices

1	Research use
2	Tool development/programming
3	Libraries/archiving
4	Other

demo_reason_interested_text

Name	Label	Location
demo_reason_interested_text		NADDI 2019 Post Conference
		Evaluation > Demographics

Туре	Minimum Length	Maximum Length
Text		

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you - other, please specify

demo_role		
Name demo_role	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Demographics
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Please indicate your primary designation/role:

Choices

1	Faculty/Academic
2	Student
3	Research staff/support
4	Private sector
5	Other

<pre>demo_role_text</pre>		
Name demo_role_text	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Demographics
Type Text	Minimum Length	Maximum Length

Question

Please indicate your primary designation/role - other, please specify

<pre>demo_attended</pre>		
Name demo_attended	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Demographics
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Have you attended a NADDI conference previously?

1	Yes

2 No



Question

Where did you first hear about NADDI?

Choices

1	Word of mouth
2	Website (i.e., NADDI, DDI Alliance, IASSIST, etc)
3	List serv (i.e., NADDI, DDI Alliance, IASSIST, etc)
4	Other

Name demo_learned_naddi_text Label Location NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Demographics Type Minimum Length Maximum Length

Text **Question**

Where did you first hear about NADDI? - other, please specify

Overall Satisfaction

Label Overall Satisfaction	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation
Type Sequence	Order InOrderOfAppearance

Name Location NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction Type Statement

Statement Text

Overall, how satisfied were you with the \dots

? location		
Name location	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Location of the conference?

Choices

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

facilities facilities		
Name facilities	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Conference facilities?

Choices

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

💤 food		
Name food	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Food options?

Choices

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

? registration

Name registration	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Registration and check-in?

Choices

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

Content

Name content	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Conference content?

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

<pre>future_topics</pre>		
Name future_topics	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Overall Satisfaction
Type Text	Minimum Length	Maximum Length

What topics would you like to see addressed in future NADDI conferences?

Workshops		
Label Workshops	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation	
Type Sequence	Order InOrderOfAppearance	

workshop-intro	
Name workshop-intro	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Workshops
Type Statement	

Statement Text

Overall, how satisfied were you with each of the following workshops (if you did not attend the workshop or session please mark "Did not attend"):

<pre>* wed_intro_ddi</pre>		
Name wed_intro_ddi	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Workshops
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Introduction to DDI

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied

5 Very Satisfied

<pre>wed_intro_ddi_comment</pre>		
Name wed_intro_ddi_comment	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Workshops
Type Text	Minimum Length	Maximum Length

Question

Comments on Introduction to DDI

<pre># wed_colectica</pre>		
Name wed_colectica	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Workshops
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Document questionnaires and datasets with DDI

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

Name wed_colectica_comments Label Location NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Workshops Type Text Maximum Length Maximum Length

Question

Comments on Document questionnaires and datasets with DDI

Thursday	
Label Thursday	Location NADDI 2019 Post Conference
·	Evaluation

Туре	Order
Sequence	InOrderOfAppearance

thu-intro	
Name thu-intro	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Statement	

Statement Text

Overall, how satisfied were you with each of the following sessions (if you did not attend the workshop or session please mark "Did not attend"):

₹ thu_1		
Name thu_1	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Keynote: Enabling the modernization of official statistics with metadata standards

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

thu_2		
Name thu_2	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Keynote: Data Analytics as a Service

0	Did Not Attend

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ thu_3		
Name thu_3	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

DDI conference evaluation demo

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied



Question

Use of DDI to document annual public-use microdata (PUMD) for ConsumerExpenditure Surveys

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied

5 Very Satisfied



Question

Use of DDI to support data curation in Dataverse

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ thu_6		
Name thu_6	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Creating DDI Lifecycle documentation for longitudinal data at ICPSR

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied



Name	Label	Location
thu_7		NADDI 2019 Post Conference
		Evaluation > Thursday
Туре	Selection Type	Display Style
Multiple Choice	SelectOne	

Solve for X(ML): Transforming metadata to transform data access

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

thu_8		
Name thu_8	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

metajelo + DDI: Deriving a metadata package for journals to support externallylinked objects from DDI

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied



Question

Complex sampling design settings: Bridging technical documentation and applied researcher software use

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ thu_10		
Name thu_10	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Using DDI and metadata in research analyses, reporting and dissemination: Use case for the development of risk prediction algorithms in health

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ thu_11		
Name thu_11	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Development of a workflow for capturing, enhancing and disseminating longitudinal study metadata

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied

3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ thu_12		
Name thu_12	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Using DDI to document a complex longitudinal study

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

thu_13		
Name thu_13	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Thursday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

DDI community engagement: Discussion and feedback

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

Friday

Label Friday	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation
Туре	Order
Sequence	InOrderOfAppearance

fri-intro	
Name fri-intro	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday
Type Statement	

Statement Text

Overall, how satisfied were you with each of the following sessions (if you did not attend the workshop or session please mark "Did not attend"):

₱ fri_1			
Name fri_1	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday	
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style	

Question

Poster session

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ fri_3			
Name fri_3	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday	
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style	

Question

DDI 4 in R: New possibilities

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

😯 fri_2			
Name fri_2	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday	
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style	

Question

Implementing DDI 3.3 support in Colectica version 6

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

₹ fri_4			
Name fri_4	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday	
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style	

Question

The Picasso Project

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral

4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

😯 fri_5			
Name fri_5	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday	
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style	

Documenting variable provenance with DDI and Colectica

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

* III_0			
Name	Label	Location	
fri_6		NADDI 2019 Post Conference	
		Evaluation > Friday	
Туре	Selection Type	Display Style	
Multiple Choice	SelectOne		

Question

DDI compliance benefit for a Generic Statistical Business Process Model

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied



Name fri_7	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Labour Force Survey: Improved access for researchers

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

🐶 fri 8

Name fri_8	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday
Туре	Selection Type	Display Style
Multiple Choice	SelectOne	

Question

Closing plenary—Introduction: James Doiron, University of Alberta Libraries

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

? fri_9

Name fri_9	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Friday
Type Multiple Choice	Selection Type SelectOne	Display Style

Question

Wrap-up and evaluation

Choices

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

Conclusion

Label Conclusion	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation
Type Sequence	Order InOrderOfAppearance

good_value

Name	Label	Location
good_value		NADDI 2019 Post Conference
		Evaluation > Conclusion
Туре	Selection Type	Display Style
Multiple Choice	SelectOne	

Question

Do you feel that the NADDI conference was a good value?

Choices

1	Yes
2	No

💎 nps

Name	Label	Location
nps		NADDI 2019 Post Conference
		Evaluation > Conclusion
Туре	Low	High
Integer	1	10

Question

How likely is it that you would recommend the NADDI conference to a colleague?

Instructions

Note: Please make this a scale, 1 through 10.



Name futuresuggestions	Label	NADDI 2019 Post Conference Evaluation > Conclusion
Type Text	Minimum Length	Maximum Length

Do you have any other suggestions for the future of this conference?



File Name

NADDI2019Evaluation.sav

Case Quantity

24



Label

Conference content?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		1	4.2%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Satisfied	10	41.7%
5	Very Satisfied	10	41.7%

	I really	1	
	appreciated		
	Doug Manuel's		
	presentation		
	that was clear		
	about		
	shortcomings		
	in DDI from a		
	researcher's		
	perspective. I		
	think this kind		
	of constructive		
	criticism is very		
	useful for		
	community		
developmen	t.,",",,",,,,,,,,		
	get that		
	Colectica is		
	very active		
	with DDI but		
	I'm		
	uncomfortable		
	with the idea		
	of Colectica		
	effectively		
	having the		
	monopoly on		
	DDI generation		
	and that		
	NADDI is a		
	Colectica sales		
nitch	,",",",",",",019-		
presin,	04-		
26T13	56:38.549Z,019-		
20113.	04-		
26T15	27:41.383Z,019-		
20113	04-		
25T1	1:09:49.449228-		
	04:00,eee41a1-		
	0a7b-4408-		
	a90d-		
rewski@lauren	tian.ca,30617d1-		
- Lauren	288e-49ca-		
	8079-		
lhd5e0d8a0 nm	88dn6,PEN,019-		
ισασευαυαυ,μπ	04-25		
	15:09:49,019-		
	04-26		
	15:27:43,",",alse		
	13.27.43, , ,aise		

	User	1	4.2%
	experiences		
	/perspectives		
	(as well as		
	those doing		
	the		
" " " " " " " <i>"</i>	",omplete,",019-		
	04-		
26T16	:02:07.593Z,019-		
	04-		
26T16:	:09:22.389Z,019-		
	04-		
25T1	1:09:49.449228-		
	04:00,5020423-		
	c630-4bb1-		
	a062-		
se,alse,",",kelly@	cihi.ca,78a5cc7-		
	408c-4c79-		
	82f4-		
o852a3,xb63rn3,	OMPLETED,019-		
	04-25		
	15:09:49,019-		
	04-26		
	16:09:22,019-		
	04-26		
	16:09:22,",alse		

Invalid	Valid
0	24



Have you attended a NADDI conference previously?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		3	12.5%
1	Yes	9	37.5%
2	No	12	50.0%

Invalid	Valid
0	24



Where did you first hear about NADDI?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		3	12.5%
1	Word of mouth	10	41.7%
2	Website (i.e., NADDI, DDI Alliance, IASSIST, etc)	4	16.7%
3	List serv (i.e., NADDI, DDI Alliance, IASSIST, etc)	5	20.8%
4	Other	2	8.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
21	3	1	4	1.95	1.07



Label

Where did you first hear about NADDI? - other, please specify

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Label

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you.

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		3	12.5%
1	Research use	8	33.3%
2	Tool development/ programming	6	25.0%
3	Libraries/ archiving	5	20.8%
4	Other	2	8.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
21	3	1	4	2.05	1.02

demo_reason_interested_text

Label

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you - other, please specify

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Label

Please indicate your primary designation/role:

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		4	16.7%
1	Faculty/ academic	11	45.8%
3	Research staff/ support	5	20.8%
4	Private sector	1	4.2%
5	Other	3	12.5%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
20	4	1	5	2.25	1.55

demo_role_text

Label

Please indicate your primary designation/role - other, please specify

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Label

Conference facilities?

Value Label	Frequency	%
-------------	-----------	---

	4	16.7%
2	3	12.5%
3	1	4.2%
4	10	41.7%
5	6	25.0%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
20	4	2	5	3.95	1



Food options?

Source Questions

food

Food options?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		4	16.7%
2		2	8.3%
3		2	8.3%
4		11	45.8%
5		5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
20	4	2	5	3.95	0.89



Label

Poster session

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		18	75.0%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	1	4.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev

6	18	0	5	2.17	2.4



Implementing DDI 3.3 support in Colectica version 6

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
5	Very Satisfied	2	8.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	2.6	2.51



Label

DDI 4 in R: New possibilities

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
5	Very Satisfied	1	4.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	1.6	2.3



Label

The Picasso Project

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	3	12.5%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	3	2.74



Documenting variable provenance with DDI and Colectica

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied	2	8.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	0	5	2	2.74



Label

DDI compliance benefit for a Generic Statistical Business Process Model

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied	1	4.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	1.25	2.5



Label

Labour Force Survey: Improved access for researchers

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied	1	4.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev

1.25		4	20	0	5	1.25	2.5
------	--	---	----	---	---	------	-----



Closing plenary?Introduction: James Doiron, University of Alberta Libraries

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	2	8.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	2.5	2.89



Label

Wrap-up and evaluation

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		20	83.3%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	2	8.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
4	20	0	5	2.5	2.89



Lahel

What topics would you like to see addressed in future NADDI conferences?

Type

Text

Valid Inva	alid
24	0



Do you have any other suggestions for the future of this conference?

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Label

Do you feel that the NADDI conference was a good value?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
1		5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	1	1	1	0

[™] location

Label

Location of the conference?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		6	25.0%
3		2	8.3%
4		8	33.3%
5		8	33.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
18	6	3	5	4.33	0.69



Label

How likely is it that you would recommend the NADDI conference to a colleague?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		19	79.2%
7	7	1	4.2%
8	8	1	4.2%
10	10	3	12.5%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	19	7	10	9	1.41



Registration and check-in?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		6	25.0%
4		5	20.8%
5		13	54.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
18	6	4	5	4.72	0.46



Label

Keynote: Enabling the modernization of official statistics with metadata standards

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	3	12.5%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied	5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.87	1.3



Using DDI and metadata in research analyses, reporting and dissemination: Use case for the development of risk prediction algorithms in health

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied	7	29.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.93	1.67



Label

Development of a workflow for capturing, enhancing and disseminating longitudinal study metadata

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied	5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.47	1.88



Label

Using DDI to document a complex longitudinal study

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	3	12.5%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	5	20.8%
5	Very Satisfied	7	29.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.67	1.95



DDI community engagement: Discussion and feedback

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		10	41.7%
0	Did Not Attend	4	16.7%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	16.7%
5	Very Satisfied	6	25.0%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
14	10	0	5	3.29	2.2



Label

Keynote: Data Analytics as a Service

Value	e Label Frequency		%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	29.2%
5	Very Satisfied	5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.87	1.36



Label

DDI conference evaluation demo

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		10	41.7%

0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	4	16.7%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	16.7%
5	Very Satisfied	5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
14	10	0	5	3.79	1.37



Use of DDI to document annual public-use microdata (PUMD) for Consumer Expenditure Surveys

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
3	Neutral	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied	5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.67	1.63



Label

Use of DDI to support data curation in Dataverse

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	3	12.5%
5	Very Satisfied	10	41.7%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	4.13	1.73



Creating DDI Lifecycle documentation for longitudinal data at ICPSR

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	10	41.7%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	4.27	1.39



Label

Solve for X(ML): Transforming metadata to transform data access

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	29.2%
5	Very Satisfied	6	25.0%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	3.87	1.64



Label

metajelo + DDI: Deriving a metadata package for journals to support externally linked objects from DDI

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		9	37.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	25.0%
5	Very Satisfied	7	29.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
15	9	0	5	4.13	1.3



Complex sampling design settings: Bridging technical documentation and applied researcher software use

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		10	41.7%
0	Did Not Attend	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	5	20.8%
5	Very Satisfied	6	25.0%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
14	10	0	5	4	1.36



Label

Document questionnaires and datasets with DDI

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		8	33.3%
0	Did Not Attend	6	25.0%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	4.2%
3	Neutral	2	8.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	5	20.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
16	8	0	5	2.56	2.22



Label

Comments on Document questionnaires and datasets with DDI

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Label

Introduction to DDI

Value	Label	Frequency	%
		8	33.3%
0	Did Not Attend	5	20.8%
3	Neutral	1	4.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	2	8.3%
5	Very Satisfied	8	33.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
16	8	0	5	3.19	2.29

wed_intro_ddi_comment

Label

Comments on Introduction to DDI

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

🥸 properties.location

Invalid	Valid
24	0

🏂 properties.context

Invalid	Valid
24	0

sproperties.interviewer

Invalid	Valid
24	0

properties.position

Valid	Invalid
0	24

properties.disposition

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

properties.complete_page

Valid	Invalid
0	24

properties.started

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

🏂 properties.modified

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

contact.uuic

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

contact.opted_in

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

contact.opted_out

Type

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	24

contact.date opted in

Invalid	Valid
24	0

contact date onted out

Invalid	Valid
24	0



Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

response.uui

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

** response.responsekey

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

🎋 response.state

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

response.created

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0



Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

🤏 res

response.completed

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0

** response.cancelled

Invalid	Valid
24	0

response.tes

Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
24	0