# **6** 2013

### File Name

NADDI2013Evaluation.sav

🌯 reco	ord_	_id		
Label				
Record ID				
Туре				
Text				
v	alid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum
	35	0	1	9
	i			

redcap_survey_identifier	
Label	

Survey Identifier

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	35

## postconference\_timestamp

### Label

Survey Timestamp

Туре

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	35

# 🌯 location

### Label

Location of the conference?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
3	Neutral		2	5.7%	
4	Satisfied			12	34.3%
5	Very Satisfied		21	60.0%	
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	0	3	5	4.54	0.61

## \delta facilities

### Label

**Conference Facilities?** 

Value	Label			Frequency	%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Satisfied			13	37.1%
5	Very Satisfied		21	60.0%	
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	0	3	5	4.57	0.56



### Label

Food options?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
2	Dissatisfied			1	2.9%
4	Satisfied			13	37.1%
5	Very Satisfied			21	60.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	0	2	5	4.54	0.66

### Label

Registration and check-in?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
4	Satisfied			9	25.7%
5	Very Satisfied			26	74.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	0	4	5	4.74	0.44

### 🌯 content

### Label

Conference content?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				1	2.9%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Satisfied			19	54.3%
5	Very Satisfied			14	40.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	1	3	5	4.38	0.55

## s future\_topics

### Label

What topics would you like to see addressed in future NADDI conferences?

### Туре

Text

Valid	Invalid
35	0

## \delta p2\_rogatus

#### Label

Rogatus - a planned open source toolset to cover the whole lifecycle

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend			13	37.1%
3	Neutral		5	14.3%	
4	Somewhat Satisf	ïed	7	20.0%	
5	Very Satisfied			6	17.1%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	4	0	5	2.35	2.12

## \delta p2\_ocul

### Label

Collaborative markup of library & researcher data: Examples from OCUL

Value	Label		Frequency	%	
NaN				4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend		14	40.0%	
3	Neutral		5	14.3%	
4	Somewhat Satisf	ied	3	8.6%	
5	Very Satisfied		9	25.7%	
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	4	0	5	2.32	2.24

## \delta p2\_usfedstat

#### Label

Improving User Access to Metadata for Public and Restricted Use US Federal Statistical Files

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		6	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	11	31.4%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
5	Somewhat Satisfied Very Satisfied			6	20.0%
3	Neutral			4	11.4%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied			1	2.9%

# \delta p2\_ucla

### Label

DDI-Lifecycle and Colectica at the UCLA Social Science Data Archive

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend	12	34.3%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.9%
3	Neutral	3	8.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	10	28.6%
5	Very Satisfied	5	14.3%
Valid	Invested Balances Bassiances	Maar	ChalDana

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	4	0	5	2.45	2.08

## 🌯 p2\_aging

### Label

Applying the DDI to a longitudinal study of aging

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend	19	54.3%
3	Neutral	1	2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	25.7%
5	Very Satisfied	2	5.7%
Valid	Invalid Minimum Maximum	Mean	StdDev

31	4	0	5	1.58	2.05

## \delta p2\_colectiaexcel

### Label

Colectica for Excel: Using DDI Lifecycle with Spreadsheets

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				2	5.7%
0	Did Not Attend			4	11.4%
3	Neutral	Neutral			8.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfi	Somewhat Satisfied			14.3%
5	Very Satisfied			21	60.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
33	2	0	5	4.06	1.66

## \delta p2\_gsim

#### Label

Generic Statistical Information Model and DDI

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		3	8.6%
0	Did Not Attend	9	25.7%
3	Neutral	2	5.7%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	13	37.1%
5	Very Satisfied	8	22.9%
Valid	Invalid Minimum Maxin	num Mean	StdDev

• und	invana		maximum	Wieum	Stuber
32	3	0	5	3.06	2.02

🎋 p2\_iab

### Label

Administrative Data in the IAB Metadata Management System

Value Label Frequency

%

NaN				5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend			22	62.9%
3	Neutral			3	8.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied			3	8.6%
5	Very Satisfied			2	5.7%
					:
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	1.03	1.79

### \delta p2\_portal

### Label

Collaborative Research: A Metadata Portal for the Social Sciences

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend			11	31.4%
1	Very Dissatisfied			1	2.9%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisf	Somewhat Satisfied			37.1%
5	Very Satisfied			5	14.3%
					a. 1 <b>a</b>
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	4	0	5	2.61	2.09



### Label

Data and Metadata Harmonization for the RAND Survey Meta Data Repository

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend	15	42.9%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.9%
3	Neutral	3	8.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	5	14.3%
5	Very Satisfied	6	17.1%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	2.03	2.17

## 🌯 p2\_dataforge

### Label

DataForge: A DDI-Enabled Toolkit for Researchers and Data Managers

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend			11	31.4%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfi	ed		11	31.4%
5	Very Satisfied			7	20.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	2.73	2.16

## p2\_researchperspective

### Label

### DDI and Metadata from the Researchers Perspective

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend	10	28.6%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.9%
3	Neutral	2	5.7%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	8	22.9%
5	Very Satisfied	10	28.6%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	4	0	5	2.9	2.15

# \delta p2\_qualitative

Label

### DDI Extensions for Qualitative Data

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend	18	51.4%
3	Neutral	2	5.7%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	8	22.9%
5	Very Satisfied	2	5.7%
Valid	Invalid Minimum N	Maximum Mean	StdDev

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	1.6	2.03

# \delta p2\_longitudinal

### Label

### Generic Longitudinal Business Process Model

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		6	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	19	54.3%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.9%
3	Neutral	3	8.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	11.4%
5	Very Satisfied	2	5.7%
Valid	Invalid Minimum Maximum	Mean	StdDev

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
29	6	0	5	1.28	1.87

## \delta p2\_ddistandard

#### Label

### DDI - A Metadata Standard for the Community

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend	9	25.7%
3	Neutral	5	14.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	25.7%

5	Very Satisfied			7	20.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	2.87	2.01



### Label

Using SAS to generate DDI-C XML from Information Managed in Excel Spreadsheets

Value	Label	Label			%
NaN				5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend	Did Not Attend			51.4%
2	Somewhat Dissa	Somewhat Dissatisfied			2.9%
3	Neutral	Neutral			11.4%
4	Somewhat Satisfied			7	20.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	4	1.4	1.79

## \delta p2\_redcap

### Label

### REDCap and DDI an update

Value	Label	Label			%
NaN				4	11.4%
0	Did Not Attend	Did Not Attend			62.9%
2	Somewhat Dissat	Somewhat Dissatisfied			2.9%
3	Neutral	Neutral			2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisf	Somewhat Satisfied			11.4%
5	Very Satisfied			3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	4	0	5	1.16	1.92

# \delta p2\_mqds

### Label

MQDS - the Michigan Questionnaire Documentation System

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				5	14.3%
0	Did Not Attend			24	68.6%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisf	Somewhat Satisfied			8.6%
5	Very Satisfied	Very Satisfied			5.7%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	0.83	1.72

## \delta p2\_keynote

### Label

The Conference Keynote Address

Value	Label	Label			%	
NaN				2	5.7%	
0	Did Not Attend			5	14.3%	
2	Somewhat Dissa	Somewhat Dissatisfied			8.6%	
3	Neutral			5	14.3%	
4	Somewhat Satisf	Somewhat Satisfied			40.0%	
5	Very Satisfied	Very Satisfied			17.1%	
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev	
33	2	0	5	3.24	1.62	

### % tr2\_capture

#### Label

Training: DDI: Capturing metadata throughout the research process for preservation and discovery

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		5	14.3%

					57.40/
0	Did Not Attend			20	57.1%
1	Very Dissatisfied			1	2.9%
2	Somewhat Dissa	Somewhat Dissatisfied			2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied			5	14.3%
5	Very Satisfied			3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
30	5	0	5	1.27	1.96

### % tr2\_relational

### Label

Training: DDI and Relational Databases

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	20.0%
0	Did Not Attend	22	62.9%
3	Neutral	1	2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	2	5.7%
5	Very Satisfied	3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid Minimum Maximum	Mean	StdDev

0

5

0.93

1.84

### % tr2\_metadata

28

### Label

Training: DDI: Metadata to support collection processes, discovery, and comparability

7

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		6	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	21	60.0%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	2.9%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	11.4%
5	Very Satisfied	2	5.7%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
29	6	0	5	1	1.79

### \delta tr2\_colectica

### Label

Training: Hands on DDI 3 with Colectica

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				6	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	Did Not Attend			77.1%
5	Very Satisfied			2	5.7%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
29	6	0	5	0.34	1.29

### 🏂 p3\_rogatus

#### Label

Rogatus - a planned open source toolset to cover the whole lifecycle

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			10	28.6%
4	Agree			7	20.0%
5	Strongly Agree			6	17.1%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
Valia	mvana	winning	Waximam	wear	Stubev
23	12	0	5	2.52	2.29

## 🎋 p3\_ocul

#### Label

Collaborative markup of library & researcher data: Examples from OCUL

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend	11	31.4%

3	Neutral		1	2.9%	
4	Agree		6	17.1%	
5	Strongly Agree			5	14.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDay
valid	invalid	winimum	waximum	wean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	2.26	2.26

## \delta p3\_usfedstat

### Label

Improving User Access to Metadata for Public and Restricted Use US Federal Statistical Files

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			11	31.4%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Agree			6	17.1%
5	Strongly Agree			5	14.3%
					· · · · ·
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	2.26	2.26

# \delta p3\_ucla

### Label

DDI-Lifecycle and Colectica at the UCLA Social Science Data Archive

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			10	28.6%
4	Agree			8	22.9%
5	Strongly Agree			5	14.3%
				• •	61 IB
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	2.48	2.25

## 🎋 p3\_aging

### Label

Applying the DDI to a longitudinal study of aging

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			17	48.6%
4	Agree			5	14.3%
5	Strongly Agree			1	2.9%
					2
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.09	1.88

### 🎋 p3\_colectiaexcel

### Label

Colectica for Excel: Using DDI Lifecycle with Spreadsheets

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			3	8.6%
4	Agree			7	20.0%
5	Strongly Agree			13	37.1%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	4.04	1.66



#### Label

Generic Statistical Information Model and DDI

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend	3	8.6%
4	Agree	15	42.9%
5	Strongly Agree	5	14.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	3.7	1.52



### Label

Administrative Data in the IAB Metadata Management System

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			17	48.6%
4	Agree			3	8.6%
5	Strongly Agree			3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
Valia	invalia		axiinani	Wiedin	Studev
23	12	0	5	1.17	2.04

#### Label

Collaborative Research: A Metadata Portal for the Social Sciences

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			11	31.4%
4	Agree			6	17.1%
5	Strongly Agree			6	17.1%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	2.35	2.33

## 🎋 p3\_rand

### Label

Data and Metadata Harmonization for the RAND Survey Meta Data Repository

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		13	37.1%

0	Did Not Attend			14	40.0%
				14	
3	Neutral	Neutral			2.9%
4	Agree	Agree			11.4%
5	Strongly Agree			3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
22	13	0	5	1.55	2.13

# 🎋 p3\_dataforge

### Label

DataForge: A DDI-Enabled Toolkit for Researchers and Data Managers

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			6	17.1%
4	Agree			11	31.4%
5	Strongly Agree			6	17.1%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	3.22	2

# % p3\_researchperspective

### Label

### DDI and Metadata from the Researchers Perspective

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			7	20.0%
2	Disagree			1	2.9%
4	Agree			8	22.9%
5	Strongly Agree			7	20.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	3	2.13

# \delta p3\_qualitative

### Label

DDI Extensions for Qualitative Data

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			15	42.9%
4	Agree			6	17.1%
5	Strongly Agree			2	5.7%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.48	2.09

## \delta p3\_longitudinal

### Label

Generic Longitudinal Business Process Model

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			14	40.0%
2	Disagree			1	2.9%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Agree			3	8.6%
5	Strongly Agree			4	11.4%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.61	2.15

# \delta p3\_ddistandard

### Label

DDI - A Metadata Standard for the Community

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend	6	17.1%

3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Agree			10	28.6%
5	Strongly Agree			6	17.1%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	3.17	1.99



### Label

Using SAS to generate DDI-C XML from Information Managed in Excel Spreadsheets

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			13	37.1%
3	Neutral			1	2.9%
4	Agree			8	22.9%
5	Strongly Agree			1	2.9%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.74	2.05

# \delta p3\_redcap

### Label

REDCap and DDI an update

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			14	40.0%
4	Agree			7	20.0%
5	Strongly Agree			2	5.7%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.65	2.12

# \delta p3\_mqds

### Label

### MQDS - the Michigan Questionnaire Documentation System

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			17	48.6%
4	Agree			3	8.6%
5	Strongly Agree			3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.17	2.04

### p3\_keynote

### Label

### The Conference Keynote Address

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			4	11.4%
1	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Disagree			2.9%
3	Neutral			4	11.4%
4	Agree			10	28.6%
5	Strongly Agree			4	11.4%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	3.17	1.72

### <sup>4</sup> tr3\_capturing

### Label

Training: DDI: Capturing metadata throughout the research process for preservation and discovery

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend	15	42.9%

4	Agree			4	11.4%
5	Strongly Agree			4	11.4%
Valid		<b>N</b> <i>A</i> <b>i</b> <i>m</i> <b>i</b> <i>m</i> <b>m</b> <i>m</i> <b>m</b>		Maar	StdDay
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev

## % tr3\_relational

### Label

Training: DDI and Relational Databases

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		13	37.1%
0	Did Not Attend	17	48.6%
3	Neutral	1	2.9%
4	Agree	2	5.7%
5	Strongly Agree	2	5.7%
Valid	Investiging Delining Deleving and	Maan	StdDay
Valid	Invalid Minimum Maximum	Mean	StdDev

0

5

0.95

1.84

## % tr3\_metadata

22

### Label

Training: DDI: Metadata to support collection processes, discovery, and comparability

13

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				12	34.3%
0	Did Not Attend			16	45.7%
4	Agree			4	11.4%
5	Strongly Agree			3	8.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
23	12	0	5	1.35	2.1

## 🌯 tr3\_colectica

### Label

Training:Hands on DDI 3 with Colectica

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				13	37.1%
0	Did Not Attend			20	57.1%
4	Agree			1	2.9%
5	Strongly Agree			1	2.9%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
22	13	0	5	0.41	1.33

## \delta good\_value

### Label

Do you feel that the NADDI conference was a good value?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
NaN				2	5.7%
1	yes			33	94.3%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
33	2	1	1	1	0

## futuresuggestions

### Label

Do you have any other suggestions for the future of this conference?

Туре

Text

ν	alid	Invalid
	35	0

# % postconference\_complete

### Label

### Complete?

Value	Label			Frequency	%
2	Complete			35	100.0%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev