

**File Name** 

NADDI2017Evaluation.sav

**Case Quantity** 

41

## internal.interviewer

### Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
41	0

## % internal.location

#### Type

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	41

## internal.created

## Type

Date/Time (Date)

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
41	0	13713733418	13737145860	137188912	3017583.04

## 😘 internal.created.date

### Type

Date/Time (Date)

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
-------	---------	---------	---------	------	--------

41	0	13710902400	13742006400	137119792	5220451.36
----	---	-------------	-------------	-----------	------------

## internal.created.time

### Type

Date/Time (Date)

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
41	0	49801	66218	50579.78	3369.98

# internal.created.weekday

Value	Label	Frequency	%
2	Tuesday	1	2.4%
5	Friday	1	2.4%
7		39	95.1%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
41	0	2	7	6.83	0.83

# ☆ internal.completed

## Type

Date/Time (Date)

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	13718558082	13729198404	137204398	3953794.12

# % internal.completed.date

### Type

Date/Time (Date)

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	6	13710902400	13711852800	13710988800	196576.06

# % internal.completed.time

### Type

### Date/Time (Date)

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	6	43978	79826	59469.91	6599.53

# s internal.completed.weekday

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		6	14.6%
7		27	65.9%
8		1	2.4%
10		1	2.4%
11		5	12.2%
18		1	2.4%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	6	7	18	8	2.28

## 😘 internal.cancelled

### Type

Date/Time (Date)

Invalid	Valid
41	0

## % internal.cancelled.date

### Type

Date/Time (Date)

Invalid	Valid
41	0

# % internal.cancelled.time

#### Type

Date/Time (Date)

Invalid	Valid
41	0

# 🤏 internal.cancelled.weekday

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		41	100.0%

Invalid	Valid
41	0

## demo\_reason\_interested

#### Label

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you.

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		1	2.4%
1	Research use	6	14.6%
2	Tool development/ programming	15	36.6%
3	Libraries/ archiving	16	39.0%
4	Other	3	7.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
40	1	1	4	2.4	0.84

## demo\_reason\_interested\_text

#### Label

Please indicate the reason that DDI/NADDI most interests you - other, please specify

### Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
41	0

## demo\_role

#### Label

Please indicate your primary designation/role:

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		1	2.4%
1	Faculty/ academic	7	17.1%
3	Research staff/ support	30	73.2%
4	Private sector	2	4.9%
5	Other	1	2.4%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
40	1	1	5	2.75	0.9

# demo\_role\_text

#### Label

Please indicate your primary designation/role - other, please specify

### Type

Text

\	/alid	Invalid
	41	0

## % demo\_attended

#### Label

Have you attended a NADDI conference previously?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		1	2.4%
1	Yes	14	34.1%
2	No	26	63.4%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
40	1	1	2	1.65	0.48

# demo\_learned\_naddi

#### Label

Where did you first hear about NADDI?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		1	2.4%
1	Word of mouth	25	61.0%
2	Website (i.e., NADDI, DDI Alliance, IASSIST, etc)	5	12.2%
3	List serv (i.e., NADDI, DDI Alliance, IASSIST, etc)	5	12.2%
4	Other	5	12.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
40	1	1	4	1.75	1.1

# demo\_learned\_naddi\_text

#### Label

Where did you first hear about NADDI? - other, please specify

## Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
41	0

## location

## Label

Location of the conference?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		2	4.9%
3	Neutral	2	4.9%
4	Satisfied	7	17.1%
5	Very Satisfied	30	73.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
39	2	3	5	4.72	0.56

# **%** food

### Label

Food options?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		2	4.9%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	27	65.9%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
39	2	3	5	4.62	0.63

# % registration

## Label

Registration and check-in?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		2	4.9%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Satisfied	8	19.5%
5	Very Satisfied	28	68.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
39	2	3	5	4.64	0.63

## **⋘** content

### Label

Conference content?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		2	4.9%

2	Dissatisfied	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Satisfied	21	51.2%
5	Very Satisfied	14	34.1%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
39	2	2	5	4.23	0.71

# future\_topics

#### Label

What topics would you like to see addressed in future conferences?

### Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
41	0

## workshop\_morning

#### Label

**Getting Started with DDI** 

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		3	7.3%
0	Did Not Attend	21	51.2%
1	Very Dissatisfied	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	6	14.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	9.8%
5	Very Satisfied	6	14.6%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
38	3	0	5	1.71	2.07

# workshop\_morning\_comments

#### Label

Comments on the morning workshop

#### Type

Text

Invalid	Valid
0	41

# ★ workshop\_afternoon

#### Label

Document Questionnaires and Datasets with DDI: a Hands-on Introduction with Colectica

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		3	7.3%
0	Did Not Attend	22	53.7%
3	Neutral	4	9.8%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	14.6%
5	Very Satisfied	6	14.6%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
38	3	0	5	1.74	2.13

# sworkshop\_afternoon\_comments

### Label

Comments on the afternoon workshop

#### Type

Text

Valid	Invalid
41	0

## ☆ thu\_welcome

#### Label

Welcome Remarks and Introduction of Keynote Speaker

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		6	14.6%

0	Did Not Attend	2	4.9%
3	Neutral	2	4.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	3	7.3%
5	Very Satisfied	28	68.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	6	0	5	4.51	1.25

# ☆ thu\_keynote

#### Label

Keynote Address: Why we Need Survey Data and Data Archives to Understand Mass Incarceration

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		6	14.6%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	22	53.7%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
35	6	0	5	4.43	1.01

## \* thu\_capture\_early

#### Label

Capturing Metadata Early in the Research Data Lifecycle

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	5	12.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	19	46.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	4.29	1.06

# **%** thu\_dats

#### Label

DDI to DATS: ICPSR Metadata in the DataMed Portal

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	2	4.9%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	4	9.8%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	18	43.9%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	4.12	1.32

# ☆ thu\_roper\_ddi

#### Label

Two Paths Converge - DDI Translation to and From the Roper Center for Public Opinion Research

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	1	2.4%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	23	56.1%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	4.53	0.96

# % thu\_datadict\_ddi4

#### Label

Sample Use Cases for the Data Dictionary View in DDI Views

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%

0	Did Not Attend	3	7.3%
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	4.9%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	3	7.3%
3	Neutral	5	12.2%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	13	31.7%
5	Very Satisfied	8	19.5%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	3.38	1.54

# ☆ thu\_meta\_educ

#### Label

Metadata in Educational Large Scale Assessment

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	2	4.9%
3	Neutral	6	14.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	14.6%
5	Very Satisfied	20	48.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	4.18	1.31

# ★ thu\_mpc

### Label

Using Standards to Help Achieve Long Term Goals at the Minnesota Population Center

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	3	7.3%
1	Very Dissatisfied	2	4.9%
3	Neutral	6	14.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	14	34.1%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	3.71	1.59

# ☆ thu\_bls\_ce

#### Label

Documenting the Consumer Expenditure Surveys with DDI and Colectica: an Update

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	4	9.8%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	8	19.5%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	17.1%
5	Very Satisfied	14	34.1%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	3.65	1.61

## \* thu\_colectica\_quest

### Label

Question Banks and Colectica Questionnaires

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	4	9.8%
3	Neutral	1	2.4%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	17.1%
5	Very Satisfied	22	53.7%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	4.15	1.62

# thu\_colectica\_datasets

#### Label

## Preparing Data Files for Preservation with Colectica Datasets

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	5	12.2%
3	Neutral	2	4.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	17.1%
5	Very Satisfied	20	48.8%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	3.94	1.76

# % thu\_posters

#### Label

#### Poster Presentation

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
0	Did Not Attend	4	9.8%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	6	14.6%
5	Very Satisfied	21	51.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	0	5	4.06	1.63

# fri\_ddiusedataverse

#### Label

#### DDI Use in Dataverse

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		8	19.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	6	14.6%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	10	24.4%

5	Very Satisfied			15	36.6%
Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
33	8	0	5	4.09	1.13

## fri\_dataverse

#### Label

Developing a DDI-driven Data Visualization Application in Dataverse

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		8	19.5%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	1	2.4%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	9	22.0%
5	Very Satisfied	22	53.7%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
33	8	0	5	4.52	0.97

# % fri\_nsf\_transforms

### Label

Automated Capture and Description of Data Transformations

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		9	22.0%
0	Did Not Attend	2	4.9%
3	Neutral	4	9.8%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	7	17.1%
5	Very Satisfied	19	46.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
32	9	0	5	4.22	1.31

# fri\_crowdsource

#### Label

Experiments with Crowdsourcing Metadata in a Social Science Environment

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		9	22.0%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	1	2.4%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	11	26.8%
5	Very Satisfied	19	46.3%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
32	9	0	5	4.44	0.98

# % fri\_gamification

#### Label

Metadata-driven Gamification: Exploring a New System for Crowdsourcing Antebellum History

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		10	24.4%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	2	4.9%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	9.8%
5	Very Satisfied	24	58.5%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	10	0	5	4.58	1.03

## ☆ fri\_ndacan

#### Label

The History and Future of the National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect (NDACAN)

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		9	22.0%
0	Did Not Attend	1	2.4%

2	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	2.4%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	12	29.3%
5	Very Satisfied	15	36.6%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
32	9	0	5	4.19	1.09

# ☆ fri\_wrapup

### Label

## Conference Wrapup

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		10	24.4%
0	Did Not Attend	3	7.3%
3	Neutral	3	7.3%
4	Somewhat Satisfied	4	9.8%
5	Very Satisfied	21	51.2%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
31	10	0	5	4.19	1.54

# ☆ good\_value

### Label

Do you feel that the conference was a good value?

Value	Label	Frequency	%
NaN		7	17.1%
1	Yes	34	82.9%

Valid	Invalid	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	StdDev
34	7	1	1	1	0

# futuresuggestions

## Label

Do you have any other suggestions for the future of this conference?

## Туре

Text

Valid	Invalid
41	0