# NADDI2013Evaluation

## NADDI2013Evaluation

# 🎋 record\_id

Label

Record ID

Туре

Text

# <sup></sup> redcap\_survey\_identifier

Label

Survey Identifier

Туре

Text

# % postconference\_timestamp

Label

Survey Timestamp

Туре

Text



### Label

Location of the conference?

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral

4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🌯 facilities

### Label

**Conference Facilities?** 

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🌯 food

### Label

### Food options?

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🌯 registration

#### Label

### Registration and check-in?

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## 🌯 content

#### Label

### Conference content?

1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## % future\_topics

#### Label

What topics would you like to see addressed in future NADDI conferences?

### Туре

Text

## 🎋 p2\_rogatus

### Label

Rogatus - a planned open source toolset to cover the whole lifecycle

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🎕 p2\_ocul

### Label

Collaborative markup of library & researcher data: Examples from OCUL

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied

2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## \delta p2\_usfedstat

#### Label

Improving User Access to Metadata for Public and Restricted Use US Federal Statistical Files

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🎋 p2\_ucla

#### Label

DDI-Lifecycle and Colectica at the UCLA Social Science Data Archive

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🌯 p2\_aging

#### Label

Applying the DDI to a longitudinal study of aging

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied

3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# ✤ p2\_colectiaexcel

### Label

#### Colectica for Excel: Using DDI Lifecycle with Spreadsheets

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_gsim

#### Label

#### Generic Statistical Information Model and DDI

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🏇 p2\_iab

### Label

#### Administrative Data in the IAB Metadata Management System

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral

4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## \delta p2\_portal

### Label

Collaborative Research: A Metadata Portal for the Social Sciences

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## ☆ p2\_rand

#### Label

#### Data and Metadata Harmonization for the RAND Survey Meta Data Repository

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_dataforge

#### Label

DataForge: A DDI-Enabled Toolkit for Researchers and Data Managers

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied

5 Very Satisfied

# % p2\_researchperspective

#### Label

DDI and Metadata from the Researchers Perspective

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_qualitative

#### Label

#### DDI Extensions for Qualitative Data

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_longitudinal

### Label

Generic Longitudinal Business Process Model

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_ddistandard

#### Label

DDI - A Metadata Standard for the Community

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied



#### Label

Using SAS to generate DDI-C XML from Information Managed in Excel Spreadsheets

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_redcap

#### Label

#### REDCap and DDI an update

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# \delta p2\_mqds

#### Label

MQDS - the Michigan Questionnaire Documentation System

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## 🏂 p2\_keynote

### Label

The Conference Keynote Address

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## 🌯 tr2\_capture

#### Label

Training: DDI: Capturing metadata throughout the research process for preservation and discovery

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

# 🌯 tr2\_relational

#### Label

Training: DDI and Relational Databases

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## % tr2\_metadata

#### Label

### Training: DDI: Metadata to support collection processes, discovery, and comparability

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## \delta tr2\_colectica

#### Label

Training: Hands on DDI 3 with Colectica

0	Did Not Attend
1	Very Dissatisfied
2	Somewhat Dissatisfied
3	Neutral
4	Somewhat Satisfied
5	Very Satisfied

## \delta p3\_rogatus

#### Label

Rogatus - a planned open source toolset to cover the whole lifecycle

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree



### Label

Collaborative markup of library & researcher data: Examples from OCUL

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## \delta p3\_usfedstat

#### Label

Improving User Access to Metadata for Public and Restricted Use US Federal Statistical Files

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# \delta p3\_ucla

#### Label

DDI-Lifecycle and Colectica at the UCLA Social Science Data Archive

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## 🌯 p3\_aging

### Label

Applying the DDI to a longitudinal study of aging

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## 🎋 p3\_colectiaexcel

#### Label

Colectica for Excel: Using DDI Lifecycle with Spreadsheets

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# \delta p3\_gsim

#### Label

Generic Statistical Information Model and DDI

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree



### Label

### Administrative Data in the IAB Metadata Management System

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## \delta p3\_portal

#### Label

Collaborative Research: A Metadata Portal for the Social Sciences

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# \delta p3\_rand

#### Label

Data and Metadata Harmonization for the RAND Survey Meta Data Repository

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## 🎋 p3\_dataforge

#### Label

### DataForge: A DDI-Enabled Toolkit for Researchers and Data Managers

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# % p3\_researchperspective

#### Label

### DDI and Metadata from the Researchers Perspective

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# 🎋 p3\_qualitative

#### Label

DDI Extensions for Qualitative Data

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# 🎋 p3\_longitudinal

#### Label

### Generic Longitudinal Business Process Model

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# \delta p3\_ddistandard

#### Label

#### DDI - A Metadata Standard for the Community

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# 🏇 p3\_sas

#### Label

Using SAS to generate DDI-C XML from Information Managed in Excel Spreadsheets

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# \delta p3\_redcap

### Label

### REDCap and DDI an update

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## \delta p3\_mqds

#### Label

#### MQDS - the Michigan Questionnaire Documentation System

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

# \delta p3\_keynote

#### Label

The Conference Keynote Address

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## ⋘tr3\_capturing

#### Label

Training: DDI: Capturing metadata throughout the research process for preservation and discovery

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## 🌯 tr3\_relational

#### Label

Training: DDI and Relational Databases

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## \delta tr3\_metadata

#### Label

Training: DDI: Metadata to support collection processes, discovery, and comparability

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## % tr3\_colectica

#### Label

Training:Hands on DDI 3 with Colectica

0	Did Not Attend
1	Strongly Disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neutral
4	Agree
5	Strongly Agree

## % good\_value

#### Label

Do you feel that the NADDI conference was a good value?

1	yes
2	no

## \delta futuresuggestions

### Label

Do you have any other suggestions for the future of this conference?

#### Туре

Text

# % postconference\_complete

### Label

## Complete?

0	Incomplete
1	Unverified
2	Complete